UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,358	09/14/2006	Takahiro Ohashi	86295(308246)	5623
21874 7590 07/29/2010 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			EXAMINER	
			ROWLAND, STEVE	
BOSTON, MA	02203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/596,358	OHASHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steve Rowland	3714			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHOF WHICH - Extensic after SI - If NO pe - Failure t Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFIG (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication brief of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stay received by the Office later than three months after the month term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin i. iriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from atute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$					
′=	, _					
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
CI	osed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	03 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	n of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application) Of the above claim(s) is/are with laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.				
Application	n Papers					
10)□ Th A R	ne specification is objected to by the Exame drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) pplicant may not request that any objection to eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the cone oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the I the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See rrection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date <u>07/06/2010</u> .		nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/596,358

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 1. CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/17/2010 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by 3. Nguyen et al (US 2005/0043089 A1) (hereinafter "Nguyen").

Regarding claim 1, Nguyen teaches a card game system (Abstract), comprising a plurality of game apparatuses, each of which functions as either a master apparatus configured to control a game (¶[0064]) or a terminal apparatus configured to perform a process accordance with indications from the master apparatus (¶ [0067] lines 7-12), and each of the plurality of game apparatuses reads game information recorded in a card (806, 808) and the game progresses based on the read game information (816), the game apparatus set as the master apparatus has a permission device configured to reject to receive the game information at the master reception

device until the start determination device determines to start the specific time (¶[0088]), and configured to transmit timing information to the plurality of game apparatuses including the game apparatus set as the master apparatus to permit the reception when the start determination device determines to start a specific time (¶[0089]), an elapse time determination device configured to determine whether a time set as the specific time in advance elapses after the permission device permits the reception of the game information (¶[0085] lines 6-13), a rejection device configured to reject the reception again when the elapse time determination device determines that the set time elapses (¶[0091] and ¶[0095]), and a device configured to execute game progress processes based on the game information received during the specific time from the game apparatuses including the game apparatus set as the master apparatus (¶[0093]), and each of the plurality of game apparatuses has a device configured to, when receiving the timing information, make a player use a card to obtain the game information from the card (¶[0010]), and a terminal transmission device configured to transmit the game information having been read to the master reception device (24).

Regarding claim 2, Nguyen teaches wherein the rejection device rejects the reception of the game information further transmitted from the game apparatus that is a sender of the game information already received by the master reception device even before the elapse time determination device determines that the set time elapses (¶ [0109] lines 9-12).

Regarding claim 3, Nguyen teaches wherein the number of the plurality of game apparatuses is two ($\P[0023]$: one *or more gaming units*).

Regarding claim 5, Nguyen teaches a master apparatus as a predetermined reception destination in a card game system comprising a plurality of apparatuses including the master apparatus (¶ [0064]), comprising a permission device configured to reject reception of the game information at the master reception device until the start determination device determines to start a specific time (¶ [0088]), and configured to transmit timing information to the plurality of

Art Unit: 3714

game apparatuses including the master apparatus and permit the reception for obtaining the game information from a card used by a when the start determination device determines to start the specific time (¶ [0089]), a device configured to execute game progress processes based on the game information received during the specific time from the game apparatuses including the master apparatus (¶ [0093]) and a rejection device configured to reject the reception again when the elapse time determination device determines that the set time elapses (¶ [0091] and ¶ [0095]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/596,358

7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen in view of Yap et al (US 2002/0020745 A1) (hereinafter "Yap").

Regarding claim 4, it is noted that Nguyen does not teach a card which has three or more sides and has the game information printed on a same face along the respective sides. However, Yap teaches a card which has three or more sides and has the game information printed on a same face along the respective sides (Figs. 2-5). Hence, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Nguyen and Yap in order to allow for the interior of the card to be large enough to house a hard drive, thus increasing the volume and complexity of the information that could be stored.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/17/2010 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Rowland whose telephone number is (571) 270-7844. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, alternate Fridays, 8:30 am to 6:00 pm, Eastern Time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor John Hotaling can be reached at (571) 272-4437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/596,358

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714 /John M Hotaling II/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Page 6